

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 Justin G. Reedy,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 El Dorado Superior Court, et al.,

15 Defendants.  
16

No. 2:24-cv-00649-KJM-JDP

ORDER

17 Pro se plaintiff Justin Reedy moves for leave to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal.  
18 ECF No. 30. The court previously granted Reedy an extension of time to file an affidavit and  
19 further information in support of his request. ECF No. 32. He has now done so. ECF No. 34.  
20 Having reviewed that filing, the court finds Reedy has satisfied the requirements of Federal Rule  
21 of Appellate Procedure 24(a)(1) and **grants** Reedy's application to proceed in forma pauperis on  
22 appeal.

23 Reedy's opposed motion for an extension of time (ECF No. 31) is **granted in part** to the  
24 extent this court has considered and granted his renewed and supplemented request for leave to  
25 proceed in forma pauperis. This court otherwise appears to lack jurisdiction to further extend the  
26 deadlines in Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5) ("No extension  
27 under this Rule 4(a)(5) may exceed 30 days after the prescribed time or 14 days after the date  
28 when the order granting the motion is entered, whichever is later."); Judgment, ECF No. 27

1 (entered May 15, 2025); Order (June 27, 2025), ECF No. 29 (granting previous extension under  
2 Rule 4(a)(5) until July 7, 2025); *Bowles v. Russell*, 551 U.S. 205, 214 (2007) (“[T]he timely filing  
3 of a notice of appeal in a civil case is a jurisdictional requirement.”).

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 DATED: August 13, 2025.

6   
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE